PUBLIC COPY

identifying data deleted to prevent clearly unwarranted invasion of personal privacy







FILE:

EAC 01 030 51977

Office: VERMONT SERVICE CENTER

DateMAY 0 6 2004

IN RE:

Petitioner:

Beneficiary:

PETITION:

Petition for a Nonimmigrant Worker Pursuant to Section 101(a)(15)(H)(i)(b) of the

Immigration and Nationality Act, 8 U.S.C. § 1101(a)(15)(H)(i)(b)

ON BEHALF OF PETITIONER:



INSTRUCTIONS:

This is the decision of the Administrative Appeals Office in your case. All documents have been returned to the office that originally decided your case. Any further inquiry must be made to that office.

Robert P. Wiemann, Director Administrative Appeals Office **DISCUSSION:** The service center director denied the nonimmigrant visa petition and the matter is now before the Administrative Appeals Office (AAO) on appeal. The appeal will be summarily dismissed.

The petitioner is a corporation engaged in the manufacture and sale of electronic cellular accessory products. In order to employ the beneficiary as a marketing manager, the petitioner endeavors to classify the beneficiary as a nonimmigrant worker in a specialty occupation pursuant to section 101(a)(15)(H)(i)(b) of the Immigration and Nationality Act, 8 U.S.C. § 1101(a)(15)(H)(i)(b). The director denied the petition on the basis that the evidence of record did not establish that the beneficiary is qualified to serve in a specialty occupation.

On August 14, 2001, counsel submitted a Form I-290B and a letter, both of which indicated that a brief and/or additional evidence would be submitted to the AAO within 60 days. As of this date, however, the AAO has not received any additional evidence into the record. Therefore, the record is complete.

An officer to whom an appeal is taken shall summarily dismiss any appeal when the party concerned fails to identify specifically any erroneous conclusion of law or statement of fact for the appeal. 8 C.F.R. \$ 103.3(a)(1)(v).

Counsel's only statement about the basis of the appeal is this comment at the section of the Form I-290B for briefly stating the reason(s) for the appeal: "The Immigration and Naturalization Service erred in its interpretation of the law and application of the facts." This broad assertion fails to specify how the director made any erroneous conclusion of law or statement of fact in denying the petition. As neither the petitioner nor counsel presents additional evidence on appeal to overcome the decision of the director, the appeal will be summarily dismissed in accordance with 8 C.F.R. § 103.3(a)(1)(v).

The burden of proof in this proceeding rests solely with the petitioner. Section 291 of the Act, 8 U.S.C. § 1361. The petitioner has not sustained that burden.

ORDER: The appeal is dismissed.